

# ABRAMS FENSTERMAN

Abrams, Fensterman, Fensterman, Eisman, Formato, Ferrara, Wolf & Carone, LLP

Attorneys at Law  
www.abramslaw.com

3 Dakota Drive, - Suite 300  
Lake Success, New York 11042  
Phone: 516-328-2300  
Fax: 516-328-6638  
Fax Not For Legal Services

John S. Cahalan, Esq.  
Partner  
[jcahalan@abramslaw.com](mailto:jcahalan@abramslaw.com)

FIRM OFFICES

Brooklyn  
New York  
Rochester

October 6, 2021

**Via Electronic Filing & FedEx**

Hon. Eric Komitee, U.S.D.J.  
Eastern District New York  
225 Cadman Plaza East  
Courtroom: 6G North  
Brooklyn, New York 11201

Re: *Harley v. Guida, et al.*, 19-CV-6152 (EK)(LB)

Dear Judge Komitee:

We represent defendant, Edward F. Guida, Jr., in the above referenced action. I write as a follow up to my letter dated September 30, 2021, and in response to the Plaintiff's filings which appeared on the Pacer system on October 1, 2021.

As previously set forth in my September 30 letter, by Order dated July 21, 2021, the Court set forth a briefing schedule on Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment, which (i) instructed Mr. Guida to file any such motion by August 20, 2021, (ii) instructed Plaintiff to file a response by September 20, 2021, and (iii) instructed Mr. Guida to file any reply by September 30, 2021. After Mr. Guida's motion was timely filed and served on August 20, 2021, we received no opposition papers from Plaintiff.

Plaintiff's filings which were uploaded to the Pacer system on October 1, 2021 (Document No. 43) are not an opposition to Mr. Guida's motion. The only substantive issue raised as to Mr. Guida's motion papers is Plaintiff's argument that the papers were deficient because my signature is an electronic signature on the Notice of Motion and accompanying Memorandum of Law in support. However, Rule 5(d)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure permits papers to be signed electronically, and the motion papers are otherwise in accordance with the Federal Rules. The rest of Plaintiff's submission contains arguments regarding other matters which have nothing to do with Mr. Guida's motion.

ABRAMS  FENSTERMAN

October 6, 2021

Page 2

---

As a consequence, we reiterate that there has been no opposition to Mr. Guida's motion. Therefore, we would respectfully ask that the motion be deemed unopposed and fully submitted.

Thank you for consideration of this request and attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/ John S. Cahalan

JOHN S. CAHALAN

cc: Peggy Harley  
c/o 4602 21st Street  
P.O. Box 1545  
Long Island City, NY 11101  
*Pro Se Plaintiff* (By Certified Mail)